

January 8, 1996

committee as though that's the thrust of this amendment. But that's not and that would be a red herring if that had been your intent, wouldn't it? If it had been your intent to give us the impression that this change relates only to committee killings, that would be a red herring, wouldn't it?

SENATOR WICKERSHAM: I would guess that the vast majority of bills are killed in committee rather than on the floor.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: So you won't admit that you had a red herring. Let me ask you another question. If two similar bills were offered in a committee, for example, Senator Hall had a bill dealing with protecting the rights of people from violation based on sexual orientation and so did I, there was a provision in his which was not in mine. Suppose one of those bills were killed and the other was deadlocked in committee, and an attempt was made to amend the one that had not been killed but deadlocked into a bill by way of an amendment. But it is substantially the same as the bill that was killed. Would your amendment, would your rule change come into play on that bill which had not been killed but it is substantially similar to the one that had been killed?

SENATOR WICKERSHAM: The situation that you postulate is within the realm of possibility, but I would suggest fairly outside the limits of probability.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: That's not what I asked you. Would your rule apply because all that we deal with on this floor in most instances when we're talking about a rule change is that which is possible to occur, that which is likely to occur, or that which probably will occur. So we're speculating in any event. Would your rule apply to that situation and require 30 votes to add as an amendment to a bill...

SENATOR WICKERSHAM: Senator, if I were in the Chair and presiding and that, if that issue came up I would say that because a bill was alive in the committee, and if it was substantially the same as the amendment, that that would be permitted by the rule. That would be my response if I were in the Chair.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: So then the fact that the bill is, it's now an amendment, even though it is substantially the same as the