

January 8, 1996

clarify I think a discussion you and Senator Wickersham were having earlier, if in General File a bill is indefinitely postponed, you can reconsider that even if it's the first time around. If it's, if they agree to postpone it, that can be reconsidered, it does not have to wait as Senator Wickersham said, so it comes up again for the three times. You could reconsider that immediately at that point. So it's with that understanding that I will be voting not... I'll be voting for the Withem amendment because it improves what we have before us, but I'll be voting against the rule change because I think it takes away rights of the members and actually rights of Nebraskans to have an idea heard over maybe four people that might object.

SENATOR CROSBY: Thank you, Senator Bernard-Stevens. Senator Chambers, Withem amendment.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Madam President, members of the Legislature, I support Senator Withem's amendment. Whenever you get the three w's, Will, Withem, and Wickersham together, it equals warlock. So I'm going to support the "Senator Warlock" amendment, but then when we get boiled down to the Senator Wickersham amendment, my opposition will resurface.

SENATOR CROSBY: Thank you, Senator Chambers. Senator Will.

SENATOR WILL: Thank you, Madam President, members of the body. I certainly rise in support of the Withem amendment. I commend Senator Withem for spotting the potential problem that he did. Certainly we would not want to enact a rule that would require 30 votes basically due to a technicality of some house-cleaning action that's been taken by a committee, so I would support the Withem amendment. With respect to the, to the main issue, the Wickersham proposal, I guess this is one, the one rule change that I was of mixed mind about. I guess what it boils down to, though, is the amount of weight that you want to give the standing committee system. Certainly if you adopt the Wickersham amendment and the concept behind it, you're saying that we're entrusting legislative committees to take a proposal, to act on it, and that we're giving a meaning to that action if they do, if the committee does choose to kill a bill we're giving meaning to that action and weight to that committee beyond that which can be overcome by a mere simple majority of the Legislature. In effect, we are, we'd be saying, yes, that committee, this is your area of expertise. We are saying that