

January 8, 1996

things are just kind of moving along and there is no pressure cooker environment. That pressure cooker environment will come toward the very end when every manner of mischievous bill is sought to be passed by certain groups and certain interests. If our rules say that such a bill stays on Final Reading only one day before being read, they, all these interests are justified in trying to use the rule to achieve their goal. They're justified now in trying to persuade enough members of the Legislature to suspend a rule so that their bill can be read after being on Final Reading one day. There conceivably could be a point earlier in the session when a bill would be on Final Reading one day and somebody would want to get it passed, but they might couldn't get 30 votes to suspend the rule because there is concern about the bill although there may be 25 votes to pass it. So if the bill is on Final Reading and there is only one day requirement, I can see where bills, probably some with which I would disagree, would have the opportunity to be read, which under the present rule would not be the case. But I'm going to ask Senator Will if he is aware of why the two-day provision was put in the rules in the first place.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Senator Will.

SENATOR WILL: I, Senator Chambers, I don't know specifically why it was put in. I would speculate that it is there, it was there originally in order to give the membership time to digest the contents of a bill before finally voting on it.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: You don't think, and I don't know this to be a case, you don't think it had anything to do with allowing the public to have notice that a bill is now on Final Reading, ready for passage.

SENATOR WILL: That I don't know, Senator Chambers. There is a requirement in the constitution in addition to the one-day layover that a bill cannot be voted on until it's been...five days after introduction for final passage. I suspect that that would be probably the major safeguard as far as the public was concerned. And that's, again, that's just speculation on my part.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: I understand. And I won't pursue it because