

January 8, 1996

we've suspended it any time it comes into play. So anytime we have a rule that the only time it comes into force we almost automatically suspend it, it probably ought not to be in our rules. The only advantage to adopting this rule change would be it would probably save us 15 or 20 minutes during the course of the legislative session, that being the time that we go through to make the motion to suspend the rule to wait till we get the appropriate 30 votes and then proceed with our business. It's a rule that I have seen it not at all limit the Legislature in the past because we simply suspend it every time. And by suspending it once and for all by adopting the new rules, we would be saving some time during our session. So I would urge you to adopt it.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Thank you, Senator Withem. Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Madam President and members of the Legislature, in general I agree with what Senator Withem said, but I'm wondering if occasions cannot arise when the two days will allow the public and others with an interest in a bill to have notice of the fact that the bill has moved, now it's on Final Reading. So notice to the public could be one thing. On those bills where we routinely suspend the rules, there is not controversy with reference to any of those bills. There could be a situation that would arise where toward the end of the session, as has been pointed out, that's the time at which we usually suspend the rules so that we can read the bill without it having been on Final Reading for two days. I'm looking at that particular point in the session. There may not be 30 votes to suspend the rule to get a bad bill hustled by and read if it has to lie on, or lay on Select, on General...on Final Reading for two days. In that case, if the bill got to Final Reading and only one legislative day remained, that bill could not be read that session. Without this rule, bills could be hustled on over and there is nothing to stop their being handled in less than the two days. I had said earlier about some of these rules that we observe, as Senator Withem pointed out, mainly when we suspend them, or made a comment to that effect, but that doesn't stop me from looking at each one of the rules which we have before us to determine whether or not it does serve a legitimate legislative purpose. Senator Withem is correct in saying that in most instances bills, or maybe Senator Will said it, will be on Final Reading far more than two legislative days. But that's during the early part or the middle part of the session when