

January 8, 1996

wouldn't there? In the first instance an affirmative vote to leave it in; in the second case, a negative vote not to adopt it, isn't that true?

SENATOR WILL: That's true.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: How...where will time be saved in that process?

SENATOR WILL: I don't know that it's a matter of time, Senator Chambers. I think it's a matter of simply strategically there may be some advantage in to leaving the package together as...and voting on amendments to take things out as opposed to dividing any given package, we're talking about a theoretical package now, and then adopting each piece by itself.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Now, Senator Will, if I attempt to divide the question, that would allow ten divisions if there are ten parts, correct?

SENATOR WILL: That's correct.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Now if I wanted to do it by the amending process, I could make an amendment to remove two parts as one amendment, couldn't I?

SENATOR WILL: That's right.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Then I could amend that amendment, couldn't I?

SENATOR WILL: That's correct.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: So I have two votes on that one amendment.

SENATOR WILL: Correct.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: And I could continue to repackage in different combinations from those ten, couldn't I?

SENATOR WILL: Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: And then I could move to amend those combinations however I chose, couldn't I?