

January 8, 1996

operating from a standpoint of paid people. They are hired guns and they are doing what they are doing because they're employed by special interest groups. On the other hand, we are here as elected representatives whose job is to do what we think is in the best interests of the people and the state as an entity. When these packages come, if it happens to be one containing provisions, each of which I agree with, I would vote for the package. And if it came with things that I did not agree with, I would vote against it. But because some of the issues are so important and some of them are so highly politicized, I think there should be the opportunity to require each one to stand on its own merit. If the Appropriations Committee or any other collection of senators working under the whip of various lobbyists for special interest groups put together a package, I think we who remain outside of the Appropriations Committee, not a part of the deal-striking group, should have the opportunity to require that each one of these propositions stand on its own bottom, so to speak. So I'm going to oppose this rule change. I'm going to make it crystal clear that I oppose it. I will look at what we say during the discussion of this proposed change and when we get to the point of trying to override vetoes offered by the Governor and there will be individuals mumbling and grumbling about what types of things are included in these packages, when they murmur and complain about the fact that certain lobbyists have a very heavy hand and their thumb and fingerprints all over the package, we can go back to the debate that we had today and show people that they made their own bed and at veto override time they will be compelled to lie in it. From my part,...

PRESIDENT ROBAK: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...I am not incapable, I am not incapable of looking toward the end of the session from this day. I am very capable of doing that because of the 25 years' experience I've had and have seen us go through the same thing year after year after year, never seeming to learn or, as old Paul the imposter said, some people call him the apostle, ever learning, never coming to a knowledge of the truth. At rule amendment time, at rules adoption time, there usually is not very much consideration given to the nature of the changes that are proposed nor to the rules themselves; but when time comes to apply them and the heat is on and the pressure is building, people then will turn to the Rule Book and say, how did this