

SENATOR DIERKS: No violation.

SENATOR SCHROCK: And do you think that this will correct that somehow or another?

SENATOR DIERKS: I don't know. We just think that it's going to help tighten the procedure, tighten the reporting requirements, and we think that it will.

SENATOR SCHROCK: Between now and Select File, would you be willing to have some kind of a form drafted by the Secretary of State that he thinks would be appropriate so we would know what kind of a form we're going to be dealing with?

SENATOR DIERKS: Sure, that'd be fine, be willing to do that. We'll try to do that. We'll contact him to see if he'll have that ready for us. I agree with Senator Matzke that this should occur, this should come out with the occupation tax report that we're required to file right after the first of the year. I think it would be a very simple way to do it, come out with that form and it could be...the number of things you're going to have to put in there are not all that onerous. They're just going to be maybe two or three lines added, but we'll have a copy of it for you. We'll try to have one.

SENATOR SCHROCK: Thank you.

SENATOR DIERKS: You bet.

SPEAKER WITHEM: Further debate on the Schrock amendment? Senator Dierks followed by Senator Elmer.

SENATOR DIERKS: Mr. Speaker, members of the body, I just have to stand in opposition to Senator Schrock's amendment. You know, I'm very aware of people's philosophy concerning Initiative 300 and the whole process that goes with it; and I know that it's a very divisive issue and I know that you can almost take a dividing line between the western part of the state and the eastern part of the state to make the demarcation of it. I have to be supportive of this issue because of the way it was put on the ballot and voted in by the citizens of this state. In my own legislative district, the western part of the district did not support it; the eastern part of the district did. The overwhelming...the overall voting on the issue was in