

a case that was perhaps a close call. But I will take note of the fact that folks don't really think the county attorney system works. Beyond that, I hope we can adopt the amendment. I appreciate your patience, Senator Dierks, especially since I would say it's been a year since I've looked at the constitutional provision on corporate farming and I need to refresh my memory and I will and I appreciate the questions that you've answered that probably need not have been asked. But I still at this point in time do not understand, especially in light of the language that's already in the constitution requiring the Attorney General to prosecute violations, I really don't understand how this does anything other than burden farmers and ranchers with another reporting requirement for very little or anything or nothing at all gained. And it just seems to me not worth the effort, I guess. But, with that, I would ask the adoption of the amendment.

SPEAKER WITHEM: Thank you, Senator Beutler. Senator Beutler, there are no further lights on, do you care to close on your amendment? Closing is waived. The question before the body now then is the adoption of the Beutler amendment. All of those in favor vote aye, opposed vote nay. Record, Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: 25 ayes, 0 nays, Mr. President, on adoption of Senator Beutler's amendment.

SPEAKER WITHEM: The Beutler amendment is adopted. Mr. Clerk, anything further?

CLERK: Senator Schrock would move to amend the bill. (See FA384 page 260 of the Legislative Journal.)

SPEAKER WITHEM: Senator Schrock.

SENATOR SCHROCK: Mr. Speaker, members of the Legislature, I am a farmer and I am a part of a family farm corporation. I don't believe I'm the only one in this body that operates that way. I think there's other farmers here that also operate under the cover of a corporation. I have a lot of constituents out there that are very vocal about their support of Initiative 300. I am not interested in dismantling or weakening Initiative 300, although I have told my constituents I didn't vote for it, and I make that very clear to them also. But my amendment simply says, and if you want to read it, fine, that only those