

portion that would give direction and the reasons why the reporting is done has to have some basis that's in the constitution. And I think by striking that particular point, we take away what is needed to say to the Secretary of State, when you develop this form, because that is what the whole bill does. It just says, it tells the Secretary of State to develop the form. It doesn't really give him much basis to do that. So in my estimation, he would have to use the constitutional amendment that has all the criteria in it that says who can operate a family owned corporation, who cannot, and what products they can do that with, because you can do it, in some cases, in raising cattle, for instance, or chickens, but you can't do it with some other things. So, it would be extremely important that the Secretary of State have that, that we have that in the bill so that he would have some direction as to how to develop the forms.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay, thank you, Senator Hillman. I was looking at things to be stricken from his amendment that he put on our desk, but you are talking about reinstating language, leaving language in the green copy which he would take out. Okay. Senator Dierks, I would like to ask you a question. Are you aware that I have strenuously supported that Proposition 300?

SENATOR DIERKS: I am aware of that, Senator.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: And you are aware, from our hearings, and Senator Hillman stated it again this morning, that she has tried to have that taken out of the constitution and commit those kinds of things to legislation, whichever ones were felt to be needed? You are aware of that?

SENATOR DIERKS: I am aware of that.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: And, you have opposed taking it out of the constitution, because you feel that the Legislature may not do the same things that the constitution is insuring will have to be done. Is that right?

SENATOR DIERKS: I'm not sure that is the exact reason, but I still oppose that.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Well, tell me what your is then. In other