

a tool to make sure that 886 doesn't get passed, I don't vote for the amendment, because I am being used, in a sense, to turn against this piece of legislation. And, on a day in which this issue is taken up, in which we can both be supporters from General File to Final Reading, I will vote with Senator Chambers to advance this issue or to reconsider it, if it fails. In this context, where we can't be together along the way, I don't feel compelled to support the issue when my...the maker of the motion is, because of its strategic value, not able to vote with the proposition. I'll allow the body's will to be done, but in this case, I want you to understand I am not voting to reconsider, because I don't think the question is properly and fairly framed to give it the attention that it fairly deserves; it would have, had the Tim Hall bill on here, but it is not today. Thank you.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Thank you, Senator Landis. Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Madam President and members of the Legislature, Senator Landis' question lets you know why tyrants killed the intelligentsia when they are trying to make a radical social change. But, Senator Landis, I want to ask you a question. If what I am attempting to do with this amendment were drafted in such a manner so that instead of being attached to 886, it would be drafted to strip out all provisions of 886 and substitute this so that there is then only this issue. Would you then support it?

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Senator Landis.

SENATOR LANDIS: I wouldn't because you are making me choose between 886 in this issue. I would do it, I would do it in another piece that I didn't support, but I do support 886, so you are making me choose and I'm not prepared to sacrifice 886.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Landis, is it difficult to make a choice between A and B if you love A and loath B?

SENATOR LANDIS: That is not difficult.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Is it difficult to make a choice if you love A and you love B?

SENATOR LANDIS: That is difficult. That is...