

or attorney, file with the commission a verified complaint in writing which shall state the name and so forth. I don't see how putting this language back in is going to hurt anything, but I see where taking it out could pose a problem. If the current practice is what it is because this language is in the statute, taking it out means the Legislature intends to change something from the way that it is. I am not trustful enough to take away the right that a person now has to utilize an agent or an attorney to file this complaint. So I am asking that you adopt this language which would, again, say that the aggrieved person may personally, by an agent or attorney, file this verified complaint in writing. That's what I am asking that you adopt, and if you have any questions, I'm prepared to answer them.

SENATOR CROSBY: Thank you, Senator Chambers. Senator Warner.

SENATOR WARNER: Madam President, members of the Legislature, again, in looking at these things, this section only deals with the public accommodation. We found the section that deals with other type of discrimination, such as employment, the language there is that the person filing in those cases can be either the individual or someone on their behalf filing, and in either instance, I don't have a problem but, certainly, we can reinstate this language because my understanding it only reflected the practice of the individual signing, but it would...it'd be slightly different wording than what is required for other discriminatory practices but the effect is the same so I'd support the reinsertion of the stricken language in line 25 and 26 but not the word "commission" in line 26. I assume you do not reinsert that, Senator Chambers. I have no problem with the amendment.

SENATOR CROSBY: Thank you, Senator Warner. Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Madam President, members of the Legislature, in the interest of having all of the language consistent throughout, I wouldn't have objected to language that would have said on behalf of this person, but I didn't have a chance to go through all areas of this amendment. First of all, I don't think this amendment should have come before us...this bill first thing in the session as serious as it is, but it did, nevertheless, so we're doing things hit and miss. If there does exist other language in the bill such as a person acting on behalf of, and that was the type of language, I would have used