

out of here, Senator, but I don't know how you...your point is well taken, how you balance, especially when you have those seven categories down below, housing industry, employers, etcetera, etcetera. So I don't know if that would meet your standards any better, but I can't vote for your amendment.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: I understand that. Now, Senator Schimek, do you feel a bit of pique, p-i-q-u-e, at me...

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Not at all.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...for having dealt with this in the way that I have?

SENATOR SCHIMEK: No.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you. She just pulled my fangs. Members of the Legislature, I know this body and I understand it. That's why I have a leg up on everybody down here. Senator Hilgert, Senator Klein, I understand my colleagues.

SENATOR CROSBY: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: I understand them better than they understand themselves because I read what they write, I pay attention to what they say, and they don't. Then when I bring it up they get mad at me, but there are some who despite the methodology that I use will consider the fact that we are passing law, and however information comes to us, if it is valid, we ought to act on it. There are times that Senator Beutler has irritated me just like a mosquito that I can't slap, but on occasion he has been correct, and I have had to go along with what it was he was offering because he was right. And there are others of you. I believe, although I can't call an example, I am sure Senator Bernard-Stevens has during his many times as offering amendments brought us something which at first I may not have seen eye-to-eye with him on, but as I listen to him, and he went further, I came around to his way of thinking or closer to it than when he first started.

SENATOR CROSBY: Time. Thank you, Senator Chambers. Your light is next, Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Madam President, members of the Legislature,