

not make appointments in accord with those rules. The Governor should have a problem. The commission should have a problem. We are setting out criteria and, when we set out those criteria, we are saying that if these steps are not complied with certain results are not going to be achieved. If there's an attempt to achieve them without going through these steps those results may be a nullity or they may be voidable and that is what we should want. If this is a commission put together for the interests or in the interests of the people, the people can be put in a position to see that the work is done as it should be done. I don't trust politicians who are given this kind of untrammelled control. Therefore, I'm offering this amendment.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Thank you, Senator Chambers. Senator Chambers, to close on his amendment.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you, Madam President, and now I'm going to become what might appear to some people to be petulant after this amendment, but I do have one that I'm offering that I think has basically been agreed on so that is not when my petulance will manifest itself. And, Senator Klein, petulance is in the mind of the observer or beholder so others won't think of it in that manner. But I'm going to start going after this bill to at least make it as honest as it ought to be, to make it clear to people that certain things that are suggested or implied in the language are not really there at all; that smoke and mirrors, so to speak, are being employed. If you trust the Governor so much to do the right thing don't put this language in that misleads the public. It's not going to mislead the Governor. The Governor is being told you can disregard all of this. In other words, we are incorporating terminology into the law which has no meaning, no binding effect. If we put it into the law, it ought to mean something. If we don't mean what that language suggests, we ought not to put it into the statutes. So if this amendment fails, I'm going to start trying to turn this unclean thing into something which is less unclean, because nobody can bring a clean thing out of an unclean thing except Mr. Clean, but that's not who I am today. So in order that those who might be unsure what this amendment does that I'm discussing, on page 29, at the top of the page where there's a discussion in lines 1 through 4 about a balance in representation that is to be maintained on this commission, my language would make it mandatory. And if you don't want to make the balance mandatory, I don't think there should be any reference to any kind of a