

how unreasonable I am and how I hurt my effectiveness. I'd be far more effective, what they mean is that I'd be liked a lot more, I'd be deemed worthy of more approval if I would not stand for those things that need to be protected, if I would not speak up for those who cannot speak up for themselves, if I would shut my eyes to what is being done in this instance in order to get along with everybody and let a bill move. I'm not interested in getting along. I'm interested in doing what is right and I'm interested in seeing those people protected who cannot protect themselves. This language that I'm trying to amend, if unamended, should be taken out of the bill altogether and don't even give people a reason to hope that there's going to be some kind of balance given. We know that in years of politics a certain crassness creeps into everything that is done. There is a taint to the drinking water. For this language to be in the bill about balance without requiring it I think is preposterous. You're going to mandate that if the language is drafted a different way I presume that you have at least one member on the committee who is expert in at least one of these areas. If you have seven areas, you want one member to be an expert in each one of those areas, I presume. I don't know how they're going to work that language out. But that is mandatory language. I'd like to remind Senator Warner and others who want to oppose my amendment. You're not interested in ensuring that the people have a type of sensitivity to the problems of those that will be brought before the committee but you want...

PRESIDENT ROBAK: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ..to mandate that somebody from the housing industry be there so that there's somebody sympathetic to the housing industry, and it can be a male, white Democrat; from the employer, and that can be a male, white Democrat but he's got to be for the employer; personal management or employment, that can be a white, male Democrat. but that person has got to be there. So you're saying for every one of these interest groups there has got to be somebody from one of those. But when you're talking about the people who will be discriminated against because of gender, race or age, doesn't mean anything. You don't have to have people who would be shown to have sensitivity in those areas. Geographic areas of Nebraska where there might be particular types of problems or people with a particular type of expertise because of problems, those...