

that, I believe, is certainly a more appropriate safeguard in an attempt to do a bunch of mandating that, even if it was done, could be difficult to, in some cases, to defend or define. The other thing that I've got a little more information relative to the issue of confirmation and the previous amendment, as I indicated, I'm not sure that we can do that. Historically, it was true that when an individual was not confirmed we always assumed that that constituted disapproval and the individual no longer served and, as Senator Crosby pointed out, it was important that action prior to confirmation is not jeopardized by a commission not being...having been before the body because of time to be confirmed. But there still is the possibility that to require by statute that the failure to appoint automatically excludes may have a constitutional problem. We'll just have to look at that a little later, but, in any event, I would not support this amendment because I think it's intended to be a guide, not a mandate, and the compliance with that guidance is...the safeguard of that compliance with that guidance is through the confirmation process that a future Legislature would be enabled to utilize. So I would suggest I will not be supporting this amendment for those reasons.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Thank you, Senator Warner. Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Madam President and members of the Legislature, we've reached another of those points in consideration of this bill where I feel rubbed raw. Whenever the rights of the oppressed are being considered, are being trampled upon, are being denied, we deal with that by offering good advice. There's a hillbilly song about wishing and hoping and praying and something, but that's not going to do any good. If we were talking about money, it wouldn't be this may be done but it doesn't have to be done. When we're talking about giving away the state to these corporations we're trying to lure into the state, we mandate that they be given this or be given that. Nothing is left to chance for the big shots who can defend and protect themselves. We give them everything so there is no question about what it is we're giving them. But when we're talking about the basic rights, the fundamental liberties of people who are not in a position to defend themselves, we offer good advice, we offer suggestions and we say we hope you will do it but you don't have to do it, and that's what makes me so offended by a lot that happens in this Legislature and my taking offense is what causes so many of my colleagues to talk about