

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Madam President, I'd like to ask Senator Warner a question. Senator Warner, would you object to then just making it 60 days and not have this proviso then?

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Senator Warner.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Because what I was...

SENATOR WARNER: Yeah.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...what I was thinking of was what Senator Schimek, she didn't suggest the time, but if I was going to try to extend it just extend it a period of time, but I call this a halfway point, but in listening to you, maybe it is so restrictive that it won't achieve anything that I'm going to ask you because you are the primary carrier of the bill. Would it simplify it to just say 60 days?

SENATOR WARNER: I don't...personally, if I was to do it, I would just do the 60 days because you eliminate one potential conflict, which serves no particular purpose. But I think it is a valid concern that it has to be within a reasonable time so that people remember what occurred and I think particularly with public accommodations. Usually there's lots of turnover of employees, there can be...or deal with a lot of people coming and going they don't know and people can forget, so I don't...I'd be hesitant to go longer than 60 days, but I don't object to that particularly at all. I think it'd be better to just do straight up 60 days than put the complainant in the position that I think this potentially does.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Madam President, that having been said, I'm going to withdraw that amendment that's up there now and I'm going to draft one in a correct form and put it at the bottom of whatever is up there.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Thank you, Senator Chambers. The amendment is withdrawn. Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: Senator Chambers, next amendment, Senator. This is your "Amend committee amendments. Page 28, line 27, strike the period and add 'but no such appointees,'" et cetera; page 28, line 27. (See FA375 on page 234 of the Legislative Journal.)