

is a red herring, it's something that is distracting attention I think from the bill itself. I would urge that the bill be adopted or be passed after Final Reading.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Thank you, Senator Lindsay. Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Madam President, I got to see...which camera is on? Which camera is on? Oh, I want to wave to General Stenberg and the fellows in the Attorney General's Office, especially the gentleman sitting on the radiator with his back to the quadrangle, I'm glad that you all are observing what we're doing and you'll see some things that you agree with, some things you don't, but nevertheless it's nice to know that our business is being observed so carefully by another branch of the government. Members of the Legislature, I would oppose this bill regardless of who brought it. I had, in the spirit of trying to be a good legislator, made the motion to bracket so if there was a desire to hold the bill and do something with it, the opportunity was there. I have discharged my responsibility as a legislator in that regard. This bill now will have to be passed. If you don't pass it, the bill will have failed on Final Reading, but you could still try to bring it back because since we have two sessions that constitute one meeting of the Legislature, two years anyway, and the bills carry over, the Constitution indicates that it's as though that gap during the interim had not occurred so you could still try to bring this thing back, but I don't want to see you do that. I want you to pass this bill. The irony is that the body voted correctly when we talked about the rules and how a specific provision in the rules could not be disregarded. Now we come to a statute which is very specific. If Senator Warner is anywhere near...Senator Warner is not here?

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Senator Warner.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay, but here is the question I was going to ask him and I'd like him to consider it wherever he might be, since the statute does say as Senator Witek correctly read, the appropriation must be in a specific bill by itself, earmarked for these purposes and the bill is not to contain any other appropriations. Oh, here comes Senator Warner. Senator Warner, my question to you is this. How can you vote in favor of 371 when it has been established that it's going to have an impact of the kind envisioned by LB 507, yet there is no appropriation to deal with that impact in a separate appropriation bill