

talked about earlier is when you start getting into the goofy game of suspending the rules as we have at this point, you're going to get yourself into difficulties such as this and a mistake was made. This part should be added in but listen to what Senator Lindsay was then saying. Senator Lindsay is saying if we actually follow our own rules, God forbid we do that, but if we actually follow our rules, he is then going to file another motion that will suspend the rule so you can't amend the bracket motion and we're doing all of these suspension of the rules and I just have to ask myself the question of why? What are we trying to do when in the beginning of the year we pass the rules, why do we do that? Why do we pass rules at the beginning of the year? It's because we have a very volatile process of democracy and we want to have the rules that we all abide by because if we don't have that set of rules that we can all abide by and relate to, where we have the clear winners and losers in regards to following the rules and I didn't get 25 votes or I did get 25 votes or whatever the case may be, we end up breaking down what our system is all about and just because we're on the ninetieth day of the First Session isn't a time to throw it all away and say this is what we want to do and to heck with all the rules. We know what they stand for, but we really didn't mean it. There is another session and again there are bills that I want on there and I assume we still will be able to get to those bills, but now we're spending another hour talking about a rule and I wonder what other rules have not been caught that will be challenged that we'll be talking about on cverride. And it would have been a lot simpler I think simply to get to this point and actually be talking about the bill, but that may not happen. I assume it is not going to happen for a while. Technically Senator Chambers is correct. That's how we must vote. If anyone can look at the list that is before us on the rule and tell me that that rule is included, that's great, but to actually buy the concept that, well, we had the idea of the intent; everyone knew. If you're looking for someone who wasn't clear, I was not clear. I did not know, and I still don't know, what motions are actually going to be allowed. Reconsiderations are not going to be allowed, but will a motion to call the question be allowed? I think that motion might be allowed. Well, can we have a request for a roll call? That's a procedural thing. I assume that can be. Can you reconsider a roll call? That's a procedural but I suspect we can't do that. So I'm not sure and if anyone here can tell me what motions actually are going to be absolutely not allowed by the intent of what we think we heard, that's great. I can't. I don't know