

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. Speaker and members of the Legislature, first of all, I think Senator Wehrbein and the members of the Appropriations Committee are well aware that there are other items that some of us would like to see overridden which have not been included in their motion. A brief statement was given as to why they included some of the things they did, but I'd like to ask Senator Wehrbein a question...

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...if he's willing to answer. Senator Wehrbein,...

SPEAKER WITHEM: Senator Wehrbein.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...looking on the sheet that you gave us which itemizes, it's several sheets which will itemize the different agencies and programs then gives the specific amount of money which has been vetoed out of each one.

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: If you turn to the page that would deal with the Supreme Court which is Agency 5, program number 67 that deals with probation.

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: The amount vetoed out was 566,000 and some odd dollars. Is that correct?

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: Yes, yeah, I think that's right, yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay, I just wanted to be sure that you're with me and what I'm dealing with.

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: I'm not this minute, but I know the issue.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay. In determining not to include this, with all the discussion we've had about fighting crime and the need to have employees and other people available to help make these programs in the criminal justice system effective, why was this not put in as an item to be overridden along with the other items in the package that was submitted?