

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Warner, did you say that when the package comes out that because you want to vote for an override on the salary matters, therefore, you would vote for the entire package even though there might...

SENATOR WARNER: The salaries is all in LB 389, Senator.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay, so that's what you would vote for...

SENATOR WARNER: Yes, sir.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...because it's by itself.

SENATOR WARNER: It's in a bill by itself.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: That's what I mean. But the fact that you would vote for that is not going to make you feel that you've got to vote for a package that comes out on LB 392?

SENATOR WARNER: No, sir.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay. So then what I did understand was that this individual item would be voted for as far as an override but the package wouldn't. The point I want to make is that there are going to be things in that package which individually people would vote for, but in a package they're not. And with the large amount of money that's involved, I doubt that the whole package is going to be overridden. I really do. And maybe what I ought not do is make individual motions to strike out individual parts. But I doubt that I will get 30 votes on any one of those because each person will say, I've got something in that package that I want, and if I vote to strike this one then whose ever it was is not going to vote for mine. So every motion that I make to strike is going to be defeated probably because everybody is playing that political game, but I'm going to do it anyway. Some things need to be laid out for us so we can see how they are going to work. Senator Maurstad, I really want to be able to vote for the Beatrice item but if this motion is adopted, all it means is that the two items will be voted on individually. Beatrice will be left there, it will be voted on, then Senator Elmer...well, Senator Wehrbein, as Chairperson of the Appropriations Committee, would then make a motion to override on Curtis. And if each of them is that important then each one should stand on its own merit. But, right now, I'm not of a mind to vote for the Curtis money, and