

told you all that the other day and you all knew it too, and now this is his forum and his platform. He was flying around the state, taking us to task and talking about us like we have tails but he can still get members of the Legislature to do his will in withdrawing a bill and putting the crime bill back on the agenda. We all know what is going on, but here's what I always add to that comment. We know that we're dealing in politics. There's no reason to be surprised when political results occur. We behave in a political manner. I'm being political when I say that I'll try to stop this bill from moving unless we change it. You don't hear me trying to mischaracterize what it is that I do, and usually I say it in front, which is different from others around here. And, on this particular vote, I don't have a great amount of concern about the direction that you take on it, but I would like to be in a position to vote for Curtis...for the Beatrice override. So if we...it would take 30 votes to strike the Curtis language. If more than 30 votes go up there in opposition to my motion, that means that the two of these items together will have enough votes to override. So you can give Senator Schrock a message, you can give Senator Maurstad a message. If the Appropriations Committee counted properly and took the pulse of the body, there will be 30 votes for both of these to go, and my motion will be defeated by at least 30 votes. You will get at least 30 no votes against my motion. But if you don't get 30, that doesn't mean that all is lost, it just means that we'll vote on these things one at the time, each on its own merit. The motion is up there.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Thank you, Senator Chambers. Senator Jensen, do you wish to speak to the Chambers amendment? No. Senator Elmer, do you wish to speak to the Chambers amendment?

SENATOR ELMER: Thank you, Madam President. I noticed in the castigation that Senator Chambers gave us all, as members of the Legislature, that he did not offer any language, any arguments, any logic for or against the \$25,000 for the planning money at the Curtis school. And I would ask you to defeat the Chambers amendment. It's for a good cause. It's for a good purpose. It's for a necessary purpose. It's a very small amount of money and, as I stated before, the Governor would not have vetoed this particular portion if he had had full information to work with at the time that he made the decision, and I would urge you to defeat the Chambers amendment. Thank you.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Thank you, Senator Elmer. Senator Wehrbein.