

implication at least 30 votes might be required and are, indeed, required to amend a bill. But I think that Senator Chambers is absolutely correct when he says that amending a bill and the division of the bill are two different questions, that, in fact, because our rules are silent on division of a veto override of a line-item veto of this type, I think that the correct interpretation of the rule should be that when there is a question that is divisible and is not specifically treated otherwise then it ought to be treated as divisible. So I believe that Senator Chambers is correct. I would...I would urge the body to vote to overrule the Chair because I think, again, lacking a specific provision that would say that this question is not divisible, I think that the Chair should come down on the side of any question being divisible that is clearly so, as is this one. Thank you.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Thank you, Senator Will. Senator Lindsay, to speak to the motion to overrule the Chair.

SENATOR LINDSAY: Thank you, Madam President, and members, I had...I had earlier raised this issue privately, I guess, with the Clerk and the Lieutenant Governor, and after speaking with them I decided against dividing the question and actually prepared an amendment, to go that route, and then Senator Chambers did divide the question, and after thinking about it, I think it does...it does have some enormous implications down the road, not just on this vote. This vote is...in the scheme of things, we're not dealing with a great deal of money, but I can...I think I could safely say that in a few days when the veto override package is put together by those on the other side of the glass and brought in here, that that...that that package will have a much larger or more zeroes behind it than does this particular package. So I think it has implications to go far beyond that, and I have a fear of an indivisible motion to override might bind us on some of those packages and might...might get somewhat expensive and I just...I'm a little uncomfortable with that. So, at this point, I'm somewhat inclined to vote to overrule the Chair but I would urge the members to consider what the long-range implications of setting this precedent will be.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Thank you, Senator Lindsay. Senator Bernard-Stevens.

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: Thank you. Madam President, and