

district has had businesses who has been willing to help them with innovative projects does that mean they have less need because they have local support that will pitch in and help them? And the number of buildings, now that is an interesting concept. If I take that literally I would say the large districts should jump on this and support it and pass it because a small district certainly would have fewer buildings I would hope, I would think. There are unanswered questions, I do not understand the urgency. I asked the sponsors, the proponents, whoever has the answers to come forward with the answers and tell us the urgency for this bill. What will we have lost by trying to make this bill better before we put it in the statutes? Six months of time? I'd submit to you that to draft regulations that are going to be halfway fair under the language of this bill, it's going to take more than that to get the regulations in order that people are going to be able to live with them.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: One minute.

SENATOR BROMM: You are going to have many, many complaints if the bill goes through in the fashion that it is. The Beutler amendment makes sense. I'd ask you to consider that, to review it and ask yourselves the answers to the questions that I don't hear anybody giving us the answers to as to how this bill is going to be implemented. Thank you.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Thank you, Senator Bromm. Senator Witek,

SENATOR WITEK: Madam Chairman, members of the body, I rise in support of the Beutler amendment. Probably one of the few things I've agreed with Senator Withem on even before I came to the Legislature was the idea at least of 1059, although it has not worked out the way I probably imagine he envisioned it and many others. The idea that we would have some kind of formula put together where funds from the state would be distributed so that districts that didn't have the resources would get money so that they could kind of keep in the same league as districts that did have the money was a good one and it was also a lot of the court cases that all over the country on education equity issues, that was probably part of the prompt for the idea at least of 1059. That idea of equity is nowhere to be found in this bill because the suggestion that you take these monies and run it through the 1059 fund has been thrown aside and no one wanted to adopt that. The idea that we take lottery money that