

kind, thoughtful, concerned people said you shall not have your baby. It is more important that the one who stole your baby from you and all these cutthroat hypocrites who wear the badges and titles of respectability and parade themselves around as pillars of the community have combined to take your baby from you and their interests are paramount to yours. We don't care what the Pope may put in an encyclical when we get down to the real business of taking care of the interests of the elite. We don't care what any dogma say. The important thing is that these poor, ignorant, illiterate, or mentally deficient, or crazy women have no rights. We don't do that when a contract is involved. You have language on page 15 of this bill which says, subject to the disposition of an appeal, upon the expiration of thirty days after an order is issued under this section, the order shall not be reversed, vacated, or modified in any manner or upon any ground, including fraud, misrepresentation, or failure to provide notice under Sections 5 to 7 of this act. So if you defraud this crazy woman, if you make misrepresentations to this crazy woman, that's all right, but do you know what the law says when it comes to property? If a contract is procured through fraud, it can be rescinded.

**SPEAKER WITHEM:** One minute.

**SENATOR CHAMBERS:** If I stole from Senator Schimek, do you think that as a thief I obtained good title because I deprived her of her property for a certain period of time? Does a thief gain good property in that manner? People do well to bow their heads and act like something else is going on than what really is before us. You can talk all you want to about how serious adoption is. I intended the amendment, I offered it in all seriousness because I am adopting in my amendment the spirit of this cruel, macabre, grotesque, irrational bill.

**SPEAKER WITHEM:** Thank you, Senator Chambers. Senator Matzke.

**SENATOR MATZKE:** Mr. Speaker and members of the Legislature, actually, Senator Chambers is talking about a different scenario. The case he is talking about is not involved in this bill at all. This bill has nothing to do with a mother withdrawing or terminating her relinquishment. That is a separate issue that, as Senator Wesely has explained, will be the subject of an interim study. I, personally, don't think the law should be changed in that regard, but because Senator Chambers has brought it up, I think it deserves to be looked at.