

strike that part about the enacting clause, drop that, but the other two where the representative sampling would be utilized by the judges, and this one, those are the only two that I would have.

SPEAKER WITHEM: Thank you, Senator Chambers. I appreciate that, and I, personally, have no problem with that, but keep in mind, I...I...when I started the defense of the bill against what I viewed as more hostile amendments, I indicated that this is a bill to which I do not have a lot of allegiance. It does not...does not contain my original ideas. There are other members in here who may disagree. It is not a typical situation where the principal introducer of the bill and an individual on the floor reach agreement and everybody else goes along with that, but I think you make a good point in terms of the Judicial Resources Commission. We have had a lot of discussion in here about reallocation of judicial resources. The Judicial Resources Commission is supposed to be our agent in accomplishing that. The...what we are doing with this commission, though, is we are taking what is currently, Senator Chambers, am I right with this, currently a fifteen-member commission, nine of whom are attorneys, three judges, and six attorneys practicing law, and then six private citizens, and we are turning it into a commission with ten attorneys on it, with only six, assuming that all of the judges will be attorneys which we know they are, and only six private citizens. I think your adding an additional private citizen does make some sense and I guess I am inclined to support that.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Thank you, Senator Withem. Is there any further discussion? Seeing none, Senator Chambers, to close on your amendment.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Madam President, members of the Legislature, here's what I would like to do, Senator Withem, and I've talked to Senator Lindsay. I would simply withdraw all of the amendments that I have up there and put these two propositions in one amendment and bring the bill back one time and I would not go after the bill anymore. These really are the two amendments that I had thought out and wanted to try to persuade the body to accept and the others were by way of softening up, but really to call attention to what was in the bill. So at this point...hmm, may I ask the Speaker a question? Madam President, members of the Legislature, the easiest thing would be to go ahead and bring the bill back for this one, adopt it,