

SPEAKER WITHEM: I would certainly assume that it could. I think what you are suggesting is not a very significant E & R change, so it certainly could.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: What do you think about this amendment where we would put another citizen on the board, and my other amendments are similar to this. They are not earthshaking nor foundation rattling.

SPEAKER WITHEM: Well, if you give me an opportunity then to address four, five, six, and seven, I would not support seven.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: One minute.

SPEAKER WITHEM: And I have my light on so we can continue this dialogue if you'd like. I would not support seven striking the enacting clause. I see no problem with your representative sampling. I would not support the workload change. I would find this supportive. What I am wrestling with internally is, are these significant enough changes to warrant the initial two days delay in reading the bill on Final Reading? This would, today is the eighty-eighth day. It is ready to be read. If we amend it, it will go, as you said, upstairs, back downstairs, lay over on the eighty-ninth day, and would be among those to be read on the ninetieth. You know, I am inclined to support your amendment, but that's the part of...the part of me that does not is because I don't necessarily want to see the bill delayed.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: And here's what I would say, I had gone through some of these other amendments knowing that they might not be supported, but as I said, I...

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Time. Thank you, Senator Chambers. Senator Withem.

SPEAKER WITHEM: I would yield the first two minutes of my time to Senator Chambers.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: I had wanted, as I stated, to have an opportunity to call people's attention to what is actually in this bill, especially since LB 19 had moved on across and passed. I would drop that particular amendment that you find difficult with reference to the workload study, and naturally