

May 31, 1995

LB 19, 189

question for you, if you would yield.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Senator Wehrbein.

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: Yes.

SENATOR PIRSCH: Senator Wehrbein, does LB 19 have the increased pay that LB 189 proposes, or are those extra judges considered in the A bill for 189?

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: Yes, they would be in the A bill.

SENATOR PIRSCH: The A bill for which bill.

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: For LB 19.

SENATOR PIRSCH: For LB 19, and that presumes that there will be an increase in pay for those judges?

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: I would have to check and see what that level is.

SENATOR PIRSCH: I would like to know that.

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: Okay, I will find that out. My light is on. I will tell you that.

SENATOR PIRSCH: As I said, they are very interactive. You should not consider one without the other, and I just wonder if the A bills truly reflect what five additional judges and the increases in salary really do include.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Thank you, Senator Pirsch. Senator Wehrbein. Senator Wehrbein.

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: I was not listening. Madam Speaker and members, I will answer Senator Pirsch's question in the next time around. I don't have the answer to that yet. I think I know what it is, but I won't say until I find out exactly what it is. I was trying to find out what Senator Chambers was doing. Are we on the substitute amendment. I don't know what that is, Senator. Strike Section 1. Okay, so Section 1, and you are trying to get at you don't want to give Supreme...the judges an increase, is that your, essential? Okay. I will say the answer to the previous question, the 4 and 3 1/2 is fully