

is important that we have people who are at the peak of trial practice, those who have been through the courtroom and understand the process, and are good at it. I should also point out I think that when you go on the bench, your lifestyle changes dramatically. You don't...you don't socialize with the same people oftentimes because if you have cases going with those lawyers you used to hang out with. A lot of times you can't get together with them at times if there is a pending case. You can't be involved in political matters at all I believe, is my understanding of the code of judicial qualifications or judicial ethics, I guess. You can't be involved in political activities. So there are some crimps on the lifestyle that also go along with it. I believe there is a restriction, also, on outside employment. That also I think limits what can be earned. So I think when we look at it, I'd urge that we not look at it in a vacuum, that we look at it in the entire package of what becoming a member of the judiciary is, and it is not, I don't think, all a bed of roses and I don't think anybody goes into purely for the monetary side. But by the same token, I don't think there should be so much of a monetary sacrifice that we lose those whom we would want to be serving on the bench. With that, I would urge that the motion not be adopted.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Thank you, Senator Lindsay. Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: Madam President, Senator Chambers, I believe, would like to substitute...withdraw, ask unanimous consent of the body and substitute an amendment he just filed with me. (See FA348 found on page 2668 of the Legislative Journal.)

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Is there any objection? Seeing none, it is so ordered. Senator Pirsch, your light is next.

SENATOR PIRSCH: Thank you, Madam President and members of the body. I thank Senator Chambers for the opportunity to explain my vote on LB 19, and I don't think you can keep separate LB 19 and LB 189. We are creating five new judges between these two bills. We will have two more juvenile judges in Douglas County by '97. I did agree that we do need another juvenile judge but I am not sure how things will be in '97 that we will require four juvenile court judges. We will have one more district judge in Lancaster County, and we will have one more district judge and one more juvenile judge in Sarpy County, if I am not mistaken, between these two bills. Senator Wehrbein, I have a