

better do it, and then they'd do it. This room is full of grown people, none of you being as old as I am, meaning none of you is as grown as I am if we are going to make age the criteria for being grown. And here I can treat all of you the way I treated little bitty children and I can make you vote for an amendment that contains things you don't like. You'd better vote for Senator Lindsay's amendment. I am making you do it, and you don't have any choice. I own you. You are my Legislature. I feel like a duke or an earl or whoever is in charge of a fiefdom. I think power does corrupt, and absolute power does corrupt absolutely, so it is probably good I only have two hours during which to exercise this awesome power, but I am going to exercise it and enjoy it. And this will be something we can look back on the day that I'd declared to the Legislature that I own the Legislature, and as angry as they were, they had to do what I ordered them to do. I am going to vote against the amendment because I don't like it, but you all had better not. (Laughter) The shallow end of the gene pool is where I reside, the wrong side of the bell curve, and I win, I dominate. My words are like the stars that illuminate the twilight hemispheres of you all's brains, and you can do nothing other than what I order you to do. If we had the opportunity from the beginning to deal with this bill as we have dealt with other legislation, we would not be where we are this morning. First of all, the Judiciary Committee would have cut a lot of this stuff out, but the committee could not. They lacked the will. They lacked the backbone, and there were too many special interest groups putting heat on them. Had the Legislature chosen to do as it ought to have done, we could have changed a lot that is in this bill, but we didn't...

PRESIDENT ROBAK: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...because a majority of the senators had no interest. So we're where we are at this juncture. We've got a bad bill based on how shabbily it's drafted. We have the prospect of enacting a bill in contravention of the specific language of an existing statute. You have before you an amendment which is a conglomeration of items, some of which definitely will improve this bill, but also will reduce penalties, which is something that is alluring to me. But because adopting this amendment will take some things out of the bill, which I think need to stay there, and because I don't like the process by which this bill was handled, I am going to vote against every thing except my own motion. Had not Senator