

problem, appropriations problem, and not so much on the correctness of the AG opinion, but I did want to read into the record some of the costs that we see being proposed in this. I also want to notify the body that I have filed amendments pursuant to the estimates, pursuant to the program delineation, prob...we may or may not get up to them, I realize that. But the best estimate that we can come up with, and it is filed, if you want to read it later, but will be for '96-97, the cost of this will be \$179,316, and for '97-98 would be \$541,464. That's how we calculate the affect of this amendment that we're discussing up until this point. And '98-99 is another \$541,464. After that into the future, it could run as high as a million dollars a year. I simply want to get that into the record that this is the estimate as specified in LB 507. I recognize there is a difference of opinion whether we can bind...we obviously can't bind future Legislatures, but we can give the estimate of what we propose it will cost, and we do have the amount appropriated in the...really ultimately the A bill, or part of 371, if we get to it, which we may not, I understand that, that those amounts will be there as part of the record. Recognizing that what we are doing has a cost, has nothing to do with this particular amendment at this point, but I do want to take this opportunity to get on the record what we impute what will be costs for this and not really speak to the accuracy of the AG opinion. It does have a paragraph in there that is of interest to me, saying, any legislation enacted after June 30, 1993, which does not include the estimates required by this session and is not accompanied by the required appropriation, shall be null and void. We have met that, if we would get to that portion of the amendment to put this in, to the estimates. I can understand, I can accept the AG opinion point from a layman, if you will, that we cannot say right now that any legislation passed by a future Legislature would be null and void. I can understand their thinking that you can't automatically nullify something that may be passed in the future, even if it is something to do with appropriation. But at least if we have it on record, I want to notify the body that there is a cost in this and these are the best estimates we have at this point for these programs, and like we have previously said, anything we do in this area does have a fiscal impact and I am hereby notifying you of that.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Thank you, Senator Wehrbein. Seeing no further lights, Senator Chambers, to close on your motion to reconsider.