

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Yes, I do.

SENATOR BROMM: And do you have some, I will put you on the spot right now, but are there some specific things that you would tighten up if you had...if you had your way of doing it? I mean does something stand out as being something you'd want to change?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: I won't try to go into them now but if there were time, if we could really consider this bill, yes, there are some things that I would like to see written a different way.

SENATOR BROMM: Okay.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Even if the thrust of it did not change, the way it would be expressed, some of these ideas would be somewhat different if I had my way, which I don't.

SENATOR BROMM: Okay. Thank you, Senator Chambers. Well, there are some good things, there are some good things in here and I do have some concerns about the issue raised by Senator Wickersham, and some people just...just never forget things. They have every thing somewhere stored in their mind, and I...I guess I appreciate his comments. I am concerned about it. I don't know what the answer is. I guess in order to go through with this legislation, you have to believe the Attorney General that LB 507, as it applies to a later Legislature is unconstitutional, and if you go along with that opinion, then we don't have a problem. If you don't go along...

PRESIDENT ROBAK: One minute.

SENATOR BROMM: ...with that...with that view or if a later court decision finds that this, LB 371, is defective in that respect, then we are back to the state of the law as it is now, which is where we would be if we did nothing anyway. So that's a concern but there is really, probably at this point in time, nothing we can do about that concern. It would had to have been addressed earlier in the session. Thank you.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Thank you, Senator Bromm. Senator Wehrbein.

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: Yes, Mr. President, Madam President and members, I am going to speak a little bit to this estimate