

May 31, 1995

LB 371

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Did you tell them you were going to offer an eleventh hour proposal that would be a compromise to meet all of the opponents' concerns?

SENATOR LINDSAY: No.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: But they printed that.

SENATOR LINDSAY: Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: And you...you didn't tell them that?

SENATOR LINDSAY: No.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: I'm crushed, Senator Lindsay, but thanks, at least the record is straight. I know that you did not go back on your promise because I was really wondering why we were here at ten forty-one this morning when we weren't supposed to even start till eleven fifty-six. But what Senator Wickersham mentioned shows how little thought and consideration has been given to this entire matter of so-called anticrime legislation. Existing law is ignored, just thrown aside. This bill that is before us now contains internal inconsistencies. It is contradictory to a decisional law. It is inconsistent with existing...

PRESIDENT ROBAK: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...statutes, but it is being brought before us and, apparently, there is a likelihood that it is going to advance today. I hope you don't adopt these amendments, because if you don't adopt them, the bill will stay in the shape it was in, which I would rather see if it has got to go because I think some of those provisions definitely are unconstitutional, and if the bill is enacted in a manner which is unconstitutional, that will be determined at a later date. But as of this moment, 10:42 a.m., I think I can almost guarantee to Senator Bromm that we're not going to get to the next session, the next session as far as its being before us. We probably can get a vote on this reconsideration motion.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Time. Thank you, Senator Chambers. Senator Bromm.

SENATOR BROMM: Thank you, Madam President. Senator Chambers,