

Senator Landis. The issue of germaneness was resolved the last time we were on this bill and, despite the fact that this overall amendment clearly is not germane and the Chair ruled that way correctly, the body voted to overrule the Chair which, in effect, suspended the germaneness rule and will allow this amendment to be considered. If it is attached to Senator Landis's amendment, it still has not become a part of the bill and it will be subject to amendment at that point, because then, having been incorporated into the Landis amendment, we have the Landis amendment before us and every aspect of that amendment is subject to being amended and is subject to being divided. So we are going to take the whole two hours this morning and those who want cloture will get cloture if enough of our colleagues vote that way. And I will tell you all what I'm doing, just to have a little fun these last three days. You all are of a mind to see that this crime bill gets priority over other...every other bill. Since that's your attitude, it means that all of these other bills on Final Reading are not too important to you, so it's not necessary that any of them pass. The only thing that has to pass is LB 371, so I would take that as a fair trade-off. In order that you trample me in the dust, you wreak havoc with Final Reading, that's a...that's a good enough exchange for me. Obviously, I'm opposed to this first division of the question. I am not in favor of changing the language stating where this secure facility would be. I have to ask Senator Lindsay a question and if he wants to take it at the mike where he finds himself, I don't mind.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Senator Lindsay.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Lindsay, not becoming aware until just now that this was the order in which we would take the division of the question, where in your amendment, the first division, is the language that relates to the necessity of locating this facility in the metropolitan area?

PRESIDENT ROBAK: One minute.

SENATOR LINDSAY: The...on page 1, line 20,...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: No, no, I meant the original language which makes this language that you're putting in necessary.

SENATOR LINDSAY: Well, that would go back to the debate on LB 988 last year. We had extended discussions on the floor last