

that is going to be back. You know, Senator Hall, if he is not carrying it, I will be. It is something that we should have talked about this session. I wish we would have. We are going to lose revenue and that is all there is to it. I think Senator Schellpeper would echo these statements. I don't want to put words in your mouth, Stan, but I think that's simply the case. It is something that we need to talk about. The General Affairs Committee has put forth a constitutional amendment that would give us a lot more latitude in this area, and keep us from losing revenue, and I would hope that, obviously, this is not going to be something that we're going to be discussing over the next three days, but I would hope, as Vice Chair of the General Affairs Committee, and, again, Stan, I don't want to put words in your mouth, but I think as Chairman of the General Affairs Committee, this is something we are going to need to talk about in the upcoming legislative session. With that, I would simply indicate my support for this amendment, except for the Abboud part, but I will vote for it anyway.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Thank you, Senator Will. Senator Wesely.

SENATOR WESELY: Yeah, I won't raise any further objections because...and I do understand that a number of people have put some great deal of time in this, but it's just kind of interesting about how much money we spend now. This 2 percent, under the, and just quick figures off the top of my head, on bingo we are talking about 480,000 based on the 24 million dollar base, that's 480,000. And the pickles, on a 175 million dollar base, that'd be about 3 1/2 million. So this little amendment is a 4 million dollar amendment, and if everybody has agreed to it internally, I suppose it is fine, but we are talking about big money when we are talking about gambling anymore, even the smallest change affects millions of dollars. Anyway, thank you.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Thank you, Senator Wesely. Seeing no further lights, Senator Schellpeper to close.

SENATOR SCHELLPEPER: Yes, Madam Chairman and members, I would just move for the amendment. I might add that there is another amendment following this that will affect Atokad. The Atokad racetrack also does not have keno currently, but they are in a little different position, so we didn't want to put them in this amendment. So this amendment is actually four amendments. They are all, basically, noncontroversial. I would move for the