

to that, so maybe Senator Wesely wants to comment on it. But that is by your own language in the summary and purpose of your bill it says you want it clarified that legislators correspondence, memoranda and records of telephone calls are nonpublic no matter what form they are in. I think you've already dealt with that with I think it was LB 579 last year. I think you've already dealt with that, keeping those records that we want to have confidential, confidential. What you're doing here is way more. It is not harassment what I'm trying to do here, it's trying to narrow, actually seriously looking at your bill and trying to narrow the scope of your bill because I think because you and others may have been upset at the news articles on those working documents and that they were able to read through those documents and find out who those phone calls were to, even though the senator had said that they were confidential. That's what got you angry. And I don't think that's the reason to put legislation in, otherwise I don't think you'd even be looking at this area of law or changing this area of law. I think that's the reason that you're here with this bill, because you know that it was an embarrassment and you don't want it to happen again and you're protecting yourself and I don't think that's any reason to put into law this kind of legislation that has such a broad scope that affects every department, every audit that the auditor would do, that shuts off all those working papers to the public. I think that it's an issue of accountability. I think the reason that I'm taking this time now on Select File, as I have a right to do as a State Senator to take this time for debate, just because we're short of time here at the end of session doesn't mean we need to get sloppy in our law-making. It means that we should pay close attention. And I hope that I'm giving senators time to pay close attention to this bill and to take a second look at it, because it was on the consent calendar and that's a quick area. I let that go on consent calendar, I didn't hold it to go beyond the 15 minutes, and I said that I would look at it on Select File, and that's exactly what I'm doing. I've always been up front and honest about my concerns and I am not harassing this bill. I am trying to put this bill in a shape that if the senators do decide to pass this bill that it's in some form that won't affect as broad an area as your original legislation does. And if your concern was to clarify legislators, then you should just have it just to the Legislature instead of...instead of so broad that it affects every.... Right now in the Department of Social Services they're talking about some of these areas that they had to look into for taxi rides. Those are working papers,