

accept that and I'm willing to support that and do stand here in support of that and others who have helped with the drafting of this will speak and express their own interest and support of that. The other aspect of the amendment deals with the amendment that we had before us regarding the public interest and we have a section of that that has been retained and parts that have been changed and since, in the interest of time, you don't have it before you and I haven't made copies because this is moving rather quickly, basically what it states is that the public interest findings shall include, but not be limited to, determinations that the establishment of a controlled shooting area will not create a substantial threat of harm to adjoining landowners and their property. The section in there that we did change was that we inserted "substantial threat to har...of harm to adjoining landowners and their property". That would distinguish between minor and greater threats. Then we went on and stated, "and will not interfere with adjoining landowners' enjoyment of their property". We took out the word "quiet" where it has quiet enjoyment. Although, as Senator Beutler mentioned, the quiet enjoyment is a term of art and does have a definition, we did not include that in this amendment so we took out the word quiet and then we deleted entirely the section that we had talked about earlier that Senator Chambers also suggested and that he discussed with Senator Jones the removal of and that was.... Now I don't have it right here before me. But we deleted that entire section of it so that we have basically the three components that we have agreed to at this point and that is the one-mile buffer between existing game refuges and future, future being as of January 1, 1996, licenses for controlled shooting areas, both private and those that would be commercial, and the second section that deals with public interest and that that interest shall include, but not be limited to, determinations that the establishment of a controlled shooting area will not create a substantial threat of harm to adjoining landowners and their property and will not interfere with adjoining landowners' enjoyment of their property and that's the sum total of the amendment and I would welcome any questions and would certainly conclude and allow other folks who have been a part of the process to make their own statements. Thank you very much.

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: Thank you, Senator Preister. Senator Schrock.

SENATOR SCHROCK: Mr. Chairman, members of the Legislature,