

verbiage is ready it will be passed around so you can look at it, but basically the public interest is whatever the Game and Parks says it is right now. They can consider all different factors. With this amendment, they would still be able to consider all different factors but there would be two factors, as I said again slightly modified. That is subsections (a) and (b) as you see them in 2409 which would be specifically considered. And then the other thing that the amendment does and, again, I'm oversimplifying for you but it will be before you in a moment, it takes the question of how far controlled shooting areas should be from refuges and other similar game protection areas and draws the line at one mile instead of two miles, and then it applies the law to all future, to be established areas after January 1, 1996, so that all of the current areas as well as those that would be applying before...who are interested in applying now, either for a commercial or for a private license, would be able to do so before January 1, 1996, and essentially be grandfathered. But the one-mile limitation would be applicable to all new...all proposed new establishments after Janu...applying for a license after January 1, 1996, and by that means put in a new protective factor for the future and then leave it to Senator Preister, if he's so inclined, to raise the question with respect to current licensed areas in a bill next year brought in a straightforward manner and dealing with the...and dealing with the subject matter in a way that gives those who presently have controlled shooting areas an opportunity to come in and be heard on the subject matter. So that's kind of the direction the two sides seem to be going in and hopefully they'll have something to present to you in a moment. Quiet enjoyment, as...as you all have...as you all may know, is a term of art. It is not just two words and it has a case history behind it and it has a legal meaning. A covenant for quiet enjoyment...well, I'm not sure the definition helps you that much because it simply says that the tenant or grantee shall enjoy the possession of the premises in peace and without disturbance. Well, all of...what in peace and without disturbance means all depends on the context of the existing situation. There are a lot of...

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: One minute.

SENATOR BEUTLER: ..ideas that the court has traditionally factored in, like who's been there first and who wasn't there and what kind of enjoyment is reasonable to expect in a particular locality among in a particular context, so I'm not