

to a few times on the floor, the one that's near Valley that's near the highway and the game refuge and so on, under whose zoning that's under and what the situation might be in that regard?

SENATOR PREISTER: Senator Bromm, I'm not real sure on the zoning in the area. I would think that it would be the county's zoning jurisdiction, although Valley is not far away. I don't know that it's within three miles of their zoning jurisdiction, but I don't think it is. I would think, although I don't know the zoning there, however it is in a growth area and it's something I'm not certain of.

SENATOR BROMM: Sure. Well, it seems to me that housing, as a general rule, and this type of activity would not be...would not be necessarily complimentary of each other to be, you know, right adjacent next door. I think zoning should preclude that kind of thing to the extent possible and I guess I...I was just curious as to whether or not you had looked into that aspect of it and I can appreciate the fact that that's out of the realm of really our legislation so I understand that. Another question, at this point in time are you working on any amendment that would deal with the public interest aspect? Is that part of what you're trying to reach an agreement on here?

SENATOR PREISTER: Yes, Senator Bromm, the public interest aspect is the major focus of what I'm attempting to do and the amendment that we have, 2409, is the one that's seeking to provide some definition of what public interest is and that's what we have before us now.

SENATOR BROMM: In your prior discussions with the proponents of the bill and also the Game and Parks, have you come to any conclusions on the existing statute as it stands right now, the existing bill I guess which has the amendment which alludes to public interest, what...how that might be interpreted or how broadly or how narrowly that might...? Do you have any feel for that at this point?

SENATOR PREISTER: I believe it does leave it subject to individual cases and Game and Parks to make the determination, but in terms...and I think that probably Game and Parks does look at all factors. What I'm attempting to do is say that those people who are most directly impacted, those people who live as adjoining property owners, should be given a little bit