

don't believe in unfunded mandates and you know that, so I would agree with the funding. And if this is the funding that they say is required to carry this out then I think it's a public service and should be provided.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Should they make a profit at it?

SENATOR WITEK: Like I say, I'm not opposed to business making a profit.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: And you feel that the taxpayers should have the government reach into their pocket and give that money to these newspaper people because they constitute a business. That's where you draw the line in being against welfare, right? If the welfare goes to a business you're in favor of that, in other words.

SENATOR WITEK: I...I think that's a whole other discussion.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you. See, this shows when Senator Witek pops off on the floor or dashes little things out in the newspaper criticizing people for being liberal, she doesn't even know what the term means. She talks about being against welfare, but she's for this governmental subsidy. I'm just trying to bring some things into perspective. I am not in favor of this. Now if Senator Schrock were here I'd ask him a question about the farmers. When there are farm subsidies I'm not aware that they are guaranteed a certain level of profit. Now there might be a subsidy that makes up the difference between one item and another, one price. And I'm not going to go into all the details. But I'm not aware.... Let me ask Senator Stuhr a question.

SPEAKER WITHEM: Senator Stuhr.

SENATOR STUHR: Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Stuhr, maybe I'm misunderstanding farm subsidies. It's not my understanding that the government guarantees to a farmer who receives a subsidy a certain margin of profit.

SENATOR STUHR: No, let me just make a statement about subsidies. Actually, those are not subsidies to farmers, they are to all consumers because of the low cost, the best food that