

SPEAKER WITHEM: Senator Jones.

SENATOR JONES: Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Jim Jones, are you concerned about the rights that a landowner would have to use his or her property in accord with what he or she chooses?

SENATOR JONES: Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: If one of these shooting areas interferes with or harms the interests of an adjoining landowner, do you think nothing should be said about that on behalf of the adjoining landowner?

SENATOR JONES: Well, if it would harm it, I can see where it would hurt, but that...state law right now should protect that.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Well, Senator Jones, then you should not have brought that takings legislation but you did. But let's stay with this. Here is the language that Senator Preister has offered, in determining whether or not the issuance of the license is in the public interest, the commission shall find at a minimum that the establishment of a controlled shooting area, and this is what I want you to listen to particularly, will not create a threat of harm to adjoining landowners and their property, will not interfere with adjoining landowners' quiet enjoyment of their property and will not interfere with future development and expansion. You think that the commission should not take those things into consideration, is that correct?

SENATOR JONES: Yes, that last one it...would be the one that I'd have problems with, will not interfere with the future development and expansion.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: If it were not for that part, would you support the rest of it?

SENATOR JONES: Yes, I probably would.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: And here's, you stopped me from asking you a question, but I want to ask it of Senator Stuhr, because she's against all of this. Senator Stuhr, I'd like to ask you a question.