

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Let me ask it a different way. Do you think this puts a greater requirement than exists in present law and, if so, how?

SENATOR SCHROCK: I would think the present law would cover the areas that Senator Preister has brought up. I'm a little concerned about the noise issue. I'm concerned about some of the other provisions. Obviously if someone is shooting and the pellets are landing on somebody else's property, that's already a violation of state law. Obviously somebody shooting upland game with a rifle certainly isn't appropriate and is against the law. So if there's unlawful activities taking place out there at the present time, they should be addressed. And I don't think us passing more laws is going to solve the problem.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you, Senator Schrock. Senator Preister, so that I can be...the amendment that you have before us, I'm now on the page of the Journal, page 2427, and it would be the first amendment that's listed on this page of yours, is that correct?

SENATOR PREISTER: 2409, Senator.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Then I'm not with you.

SENATOR PREISTER: Ah,...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: I was looking at 20...at amendment 2376, because I was trying to get the exact wording of your amendment.

SENATOR PREISTER: Excuse me, Senator Chambers, that is the amendment that is before us. And with Senator Schrock's amendment, line 11, well, it would be the number two in that, the striking of "second" would no longer be appropriate in this amendment. But basically you have the correct amendment.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: All right, and this amendment has not been adopted,...

SENATOR PREISTER: That's...that's...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...that's correct?

SENATOR PREISTER: ...that's correct.