

is what the Game and Parks can use as they make their determinations of granting or not granting a license for a controlled shooting area. I think the first aspect of that is again one that would have little dispute, that in granting the license it will not create a threat of harm to adjoining landowners and their property. Certainly no one should be put at risk or have their property or their own person threatened. And the main reason for this is that the people living across the street from this controlled shooting area have had shotgun pellets actually hit their house, and in one case I'm told a report was made to the sheriff of the area that a rifle actually had a shell emitted from it that went through the house and actually went through the headboard of a bed in the house. To me that doesn't constitute a feeling of safety. It certainly is something that should be taken into account. And again Game and Parks is able to make the discretion as to whether or not public interest is being met by preventing harm to the adjoining landowners and their property. I think that's something that is good policy. We shouldn't allow these folks to be threatened, we shouldn't allow them to have the potential of pellets hitting their house. And I was told that when the shotgun pellets actually hit the business or home of Mr. Glass, that he, in a very friendly way, turned around and commented that, hey, we're friendly over here, and upon saying that the people who had fired the pellets started cussing at him. To me that certainly doesn't constitute any respect for him. He, in my understanding, and I wasn't there, so I can't say from personal experience, but he stated that he did not say anything to those folks other than that he was friendly and was concerned for what was happening to him. And having made that declarative statement that we're friendly over here, to have people cussing at him after he just experienced buckshot hitting his property was something that was very concerning for him. And I think that these people have a right to have their property and their own homes without the threat of violence. That was the reaching of just the shotgun pellets. The property is close enough that the pellets can reach from the controlled shooting area across the highway, and it is a highway that goes right adjoining, in addition to being right along the Platte River, so we have people out on the river, we have people driving on the highway. The safety and security of those folks is certainly something that's called into question, but directly across the road we have people who are residing, farming and raising animals for their livelihood and for their own interest. I think that those property owners immediately adjoining should be taken into