

same issue, because one is when they grant the permission, when they grant the license to an area that is, I assume, is starting up from scratch. The amendment that I have, which deals with page 35, I think, is pretty important because it could find them in violation for minor infractions that really have nothing to do with issues that most of us would be concerned about. Senator Lynch, I agree with you, but unless they have improvements on that land I don't think it's going to increase the value of that (inaudible). Obviously, if they put a bowling alley in a controlled shooting area it would raise the value of that property. If they put a hunting lodge it would raise the value of that, or if they put a birdhouse up to contain pheasants that would enhance the value of that area. So...but I think our laws cover that now. With that I'll close on my amendment and hope that you can support it. Senator Preister, if you want to offer an amendment for the issue on page 27, I'd have no objections to that. With that, I'll close.

SPEAKER WITHEM: Thank you, Senator Schrock. You've heard the closing. Question is the adoption of the Schrock amendment. All those in favor vote aye, opposed vote nay. Have you all voted? Senator Schrock.

SENATOR SCHROCK: It would be nice if we had four more votes but if that doesn't happen I guess I'll have a call of the house.

SPEAKER WITHEM: Question is, shall the house go under call? All those in favor vote aye, opposed vote nay.

SENATOR SCHROCK: I'll accept call-ins.

SPEAKER WITHEM: Record, Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: 16 ayes, 0 nays to go under call, Mr. President.

SPEAKER WITHEM: House is under call. Would members please return to the Chamber. Members, please check in. Unauthorized parties on the floor please leave. Question...the house is under call, voting on the Schrock amendment. Senator Schrock has authorized call-in votes.

CLERK: Senator Hartnett voting yes. Senator Kristensen voting yes. Senator Bernard-Stevens voting yes. Senator Maurstad voting yes.