

the amendment up to change shall to may. And I know that that, unless you're familiar with it, may seem a little confusing. But in essence when you grant and remove you either may or you shall. To my way of thinking you shouldn't have a may on one and a shall on the other. So I'm seeking to have some harmony with the way Game and Parks handles this situation. And certainly, Senator Schrock, perhaps you could answer if that is something that you agree with, and you can do it as you have time. But if...I don't think you have a problem with this, but I don't know if you have any questions or concerns. And although we're not specifically dealing with it right now it's in connection with what you're attempting to do. Thank you.

SPEAKER WITHEM: Thank you, Senator Preister. Senator Lynch.

SENATOR LYNCH: Mr. Speaker and members, Ed, I...this is just for the record. I'm not asking anyone to reply to this as a question, but I do just want to point something out. We talked about the value for tax purposes earlier and, Ed, you responded about you didn't think it fundamentally raised the value for any purpose at all. And I remember very clearly in Tim Hall's district, a few years ago, Immaculate Conception Church put a bowling alley in the basement, it raised a couple extra bucks for them because they needed it, but it immediately went on the tax rolls when that improvement was put in the basement of their community hall. I think when permits are taken out for these kinds of, as Senator Hudkins pointed out, sizeable investments, I think that should be a red flag that should go up to the assessors' offices, and in fact we should seriously look at how much that investment is. If in fact you can do both you can grow something during the summertime and then in the fall, when you can't grow anymore, pick up some extra shackles, you know, with hunting things, that's fine, that's fine. All I am concerned with is that if we apply in the state the principle of taxes against a church that puts in a bowling alley, we sure ought to apply the principle of tax against those who put in sizeable investments for this or any other purpose. Thank you.

SPEAKER WITHEM: Thank you, Senator Lynch. Senator Schrock, on...by the way, yours is the last light on. Would you like to close?

SENATOR SCHROCK: Sure. Just response to Senator Preister. Senator Preister, on the surface I don't have any problem with what you're trying to do. They're not dealing exactly with the