

but that sounds reasonable to me at this point in time.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: I'd like...oh, Senator Hudkins is not here, because...oh, Senator Schrock, I'm not interested, as you pointed out, in some of these minor things that anybody could do and through happenstance, inadvertence or maybe even a little fudging shut down the whole operation, but some of those that maybe touch in the area of what Senator Preister and others are concerned about, if those are knowingly and intentionally done, then I think...

SPEAKER WITHEM: Time. Senator Preister, followed by Senators Lynch and Beutler.

SENATOR PREISTER: Thank you, Honorable President, friends all. Perhaps I could add a little bit of information in the discussion on Senator Schrock's amendment. There are two sections in the bill, one deals with the granting of licenses, and the other deals with the removal of those licenses. The shall was added to harmonize those two provisions when we were on General File. We're now on Select File and if we change one of those provisions then it seems that we should change both. I don't know that Senator Schrock is dealing with the other section, but if we don't do it now then I will offer an amendment, in fact I already put it on the desk trying to amend this amendment that harmonizes. And where I'm referring to is on page 27 of the adopted E & R amendment. And on page 27 it deals with the issue of when commercial or private controlled shooting areas are licensed. And in line 27 it says that it, in effect, if these criteria are met then Game and Parks shall, in effect they have to issue the license. And what Senator Schrock's amendment says is if we have certain criteria that have been met then Game and Parks may remove the license. So in one instance we're telling Game and Parks that they have to grant a license, but in the other they may remove the license at their discretion. It seems that they should harmonize, either Game and Parks has the authority to grant and remove or they don't have. But to say on one instance that they shall issue the license and on another say they may remove the license seems inconsistent to me. So I don't know that we can do both in this same amendment, but if Senator Schrock's amendment is adopted then I will be moving to amend page 27, line 27 of the E & R amendments to harmonize so that that shall, the second to the last word on line 27, says may, so that it will be doing the same thing that Senator Schrock is doing on page 35 where he has