

SENATOR SCHROCK: Of course you know,...

SPEAKER WITHEM: Senator Schrock.

SENATOR SCHROCK: ...Senator Chambers, to operate they have to release a certain number of birds. Maybe they filled out a report incorrect, maybe they released 1,000 birds and filled out that they had released 980, and their purchasing record of pheasants didn't...didn't collaborate with what they'd released. I'd consider that a minor infraction. Maybe they released...didn't release 20 birds that they had said they'd released. Maybe they filled out a report wrong, unintentionally, that could be an infraction which I don't think should be cause for them to lose their license.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Well, Senator Schrock, are there any violations that you consider serious? Apparently any violation of this law is not deemed serious.

SENATOR SCHROCK: Yes, there are violations that I deem serious.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay, could I just get an example.

SENATOR SCHROCK: Sure. Going out there and shooting before the proper time in the morning, or shooting too late in the evening.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Well, suppose we'd mentioned some of the serious violations which...and make them knowing and intentional so that none of these outfits, because they might have clout, they bring in big shots, big corporations and they have political power and the Game and Parks Commission has not impressed me when I look at the Mahoney activities as being one able to withstand political and other types of pressure.

SPEAKER WITHEM: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Harold Anderson and some of those kind of people have just about gained ownership of the policymaking apparatus of Game and Parks, in my opinion, and I say that in order to get to this situation. If we would limit this on the shall to serious violations and they would have to be knowing and intentional, what would you feel about that?

SENATOR SCHROCK: You know it's...it's...it's premature to say