

when that's possible. So with that, Mr. President, I'd be glad to respond to questions.

SPEAKER WITHEM: Senator McKenzie.

SENATOR MCKENZIE: Mr. Speaker and members, thank you. With all due respect to Senator Warner, I would ask for a germaneness ruling on the amendment.

SPEAKER WITHEM: Would you like to give a rationale as to, a very brief rationale as to why you feel it is not germane?

SENATOR MCKENZIE: Be glad to, Mr. President. LB 613 as currently drafted in the Enrollment and Review amendments deals with Section 77 and 79 of our statute. Senator Warner's amendment deals with Section 50, Chapter 50.

SPEAKER WITHEM: And that's the sum total of the...thank you. Senator Warner, would you like to address the question of germaneness?

SENATOR WARNER: Mr. President, obviously, I don't know how you're going to rule. Obviously, you're dealing in one case local government, in one instance state government so to that extent they are separate. From my viewpoint the consistency would be in a broad area of limitation that we are attempting to apply a type of limitation to both entities of government and that's the extent of germaneness that I can argue.

SPEAKER WITHEM: Yeah, this is another one where I had had an opportunity to take a look at it before because I had a sense that there may be a germaneness issue raised. I would indicate...my ruling would be that it is not germane based on the fact that it, the current bill deals with local governments and budgetary limitations, taxation limitations on local governments. The amendment 2455 establishes an entirely different process for the state government so it's a different process and it is a different level of government, and as Senator McKenzie pointed out, it is a different area of the statutes entirely. My ruling is that it is not germane. Senator Bernard-Stevens, for what purpose?

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: I want to move to override that decision.