

this going to help by putting off until next July?

SENATOR WESELY: The problem, in our discussion this morning, the statute seems okay. It is how it is interpreted, by the language, in the rules and regulations, and you know that is the key. And then we will know whether or not it is being interpreted the way we think it should be, and then if it isn't, we have the chance to intervene next session...

SENATOR SCHELLPEPER: So you may have to come back next year with another bill or what?

SENATOR WESELY: Right, but we are going to have to...well, yes, that's exactly the point. We don't think we'll need to, but if we do, we have that option. So this takes...this brings a comfort level to those that are concerned about the legislation.

SENATOR SCHELLPEPER: Wouldn't it be better to just take that out completely?

SENATOR WESELY: No, you need...you need to make the change, and, in fact, it will fix some of the problems that have been going on with the Board in the past, so we think it will improve, but, again, it is subject to interpretation. That's where we got into trouble, so this takes out that problem.

SENATOR SCHELLPEPER: Thank you.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Thank you, Senator. Senator Bromm.

SENATOR BROMM: Thank you, Madam President. Senator Wesely, could I ask you a question, please.

SENATOR WESELY: Sure.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Senator Wesely.

SENATOR BROMM: What two sections is it that are the problem, do you know the numbers?

SENATOR WESELY: Section...I believe they were Section 7 and 8, and the specific language maintains the Tim Kolb amendment that was adopted for Senator Schrock, but otherwise the definitions that have been in conflict are delayed. That's the elements that are delayed.