

things on. One of the things we've done is to drop out Section 5 of the bill. Section 5 is the offense of unauthorized entry into a propelled vehicle, dropped off because of a variety of problems that could not be resolved in the short term. In addition to that, on page 10 and 11 of the bill were three different penalty provisions that had been enhanced by two categories, that is they had gone two levels higher in terms of the penalties and what we did was make the penalties tougher but moved them up only one level in each of those three instances. So there was a compromise there. And, finally, all of the provisions relating to good time have been given an operative date of July of 1996 so that there will be one more legislative session before those go into effect, to contemplate and to look at those and to determine whether further adjustments are appropriate there before they actually go into effect. So those are the...are three of the important items that are taken care of, and, Senator Bromm, you had a couple more you wanted to describe, I believe.

SPEAKER WITHEM: Senator Beutler, you are yielding time to Senator Bromm. Is that correct?

SENATOR BEUTLER: Yes. Yes.

SPEAKER WITHEM: Senator Bromm.

SENATOR BROMM: Thank you, Senator Beutler. To touch on another item, and I think this might be fairly significant to many of you, the amendment does address the location of the secure confinement facility for juvenile offenders by adopting what was the Lindsay proposal that we talked about earlier today that says that the facility could be built in any county containing a city of the metropolitan class. So, as opposed to being restricted to just the city limits of the metropolitan class city, it allows it to be built anywhere within the county. Another...another item, Section 28 of the...of the E & R amendments, which is a section that we discussed somewhat about the offense of intentionally, knowingly or recklessly causing or attempting to cause bodily harm to a peace officer by using a motor vehicle and so forth has been cleaned up to eliminate the word "recklessly" and to eliminate references to attempt. There's a general attempt statute that is in another part of the criminal code that would be applicable and we think we've eliminated the problem that Senator Chambers explained very well about the word "recklessly" being a problem in that section. So