

bill number was because I did not know, I did not remember the issue. I, frankly, was out of town on business when this issue was before the committee, so I was not even there. It was a representative from the criminal offense attorneys who called me out and said something is wrong because we did submit opposition. We did not testify because of other bills we had throughout the Capitol but we did submit a letter and it just somehow didn't get into the record. So I did not want or mean to imply that Senator Landis was not acting in good faith because I know he was. And I, myself, did not know any different until it was brought to my attention. That's the only reason I raised or rose to, I guess, offer the record or the letter for the record and I just wanted to make that very clear. Thank you.

SPEAKER WITHEM: Thank you, Senator Hall. Senator Chambers, you are recognized to close on your amendment.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. Speaker and members of the Legislature, my amendment may seem somewhat trivial in light of the discussion that Senator Landis and I and Senator Hall have been having. But it's necessary for me to offer these amendments in order to get at some aspects of the bill which I think need to be clearly and extensively laid out on the record. And since I haven't reached the point yet where I feel comfortable trying to amend the language that Senator Landis is putting into this bill, the substantive language, the only way I can discuss it to the extent that I want to is to offer other amendments that touch what may seem to be inconsequential parts of the bill. But I've got to offer those amendments and I intend to continue doing so until we have thoroughly gone through all aspects of this bill. Senator Witek just indicated that she was in the room the day that we had the hearing and she thought that I asked good questions there like here and the... Miss Retelsdsdorf from the County Attorney's Office in Omaha gave very direct and what she felt were satisfactory answers. And it was because of that kind of testimony that I was made to see what they meant when they put some of this language in the bill. But I still am not comfortable and I don't want to give a misimpression, and I guess I have been successful because Senator Witek said she's not sure whether I'm for the bill or not, and that reflects my position right now. I didn't vote during the deliberations on this bill because I wasn't sure how I ought to vote on a bill like this. But in order that anybody, who is interested in voting on what my amendment is, would like to know what that